

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,670	07/13/2001	Phillip D. Purdy	UTSD:798US/MTG	4825
28075	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	INER
	N, SEAGER & TUFTE LET AVENUE	HAN, MARK K		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		$\omega$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/905,670	PURDY, PHILLIP D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark K. Han	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magnitude of the particular of the magnitude of the particular of the magnitude of the particular of the provided of th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•••					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) 🗌 objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)        Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	(08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/905,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to a method of advancing a device within the spinal subarachinoid space at least more than 10 centimeters from the entry location, classified in class 604, subclass 500.
  - II. Claims 29-44, drawn to a method of advancing a device within the spinal subarachinoid space to facilitate intracranial access with a second device through the first passageway, classified in class 604, subclass 506.
  - III. Claim 45, drawn to a method of monitoring the position of a non-endoscopic device using an imaging modality, classified in class 604, subclass 513.
  - IV. Claims 46-63, drawn to a device having a skin-attachment apparatus, classified in class 604, subclass 104.13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions (I-III) and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case each method can be used with a device not requiring a skin attachment device.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,670

Art Unit: 3763

3. Inventions I-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has separate utility such as being used in a

method not requiring a method step described above. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species I drawn to Figure 3.

Species II drawn to Figure 4.

Species III drawn to Figure 5.

Species IV drawn to Figure 6.

Species V drawn to Figure 7.

Species VI drawn to Figure 8.

Species VII drawn to Figure 9.

Upon the election of one of the above species, applicant is required to elect from one of the

following subspecies:

Species A drawn to Figure 10.

Species B drawn to Figure 11.

Species C drawn to Figure 12.

Species D drawn to Figure 20.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Upon election of Species C, applicant is required to elect from one of the following subspecies:

Species 1 drawn to Figure 13A.

Species 2 drawn to Figure 13B.

Species 3 drawn to Figure 13C.

Species 4 drawn to Figure 13D.

Species 5 drawn to Figure 13E.

Species 6 drawn to Figure 13F.

Species 7 drawn to Figure 13G.

Species 8 drawn to Figure 13H.

Species 9 drawn to Figure 19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3763

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,670

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark K. Han Patent Examiner Art Unit 3763 Page 6

mkh April 18, 2005

11101.00V CETTEN 3700